

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF A DECISION

**of the Standing Committee on pedagogical sciences and social activities on the results of the completed evaluation procedure for programme accreditation of a doctoral programme "Theory of education and didactics" in a professional field 1.2. Pedagogy, an area higher education 1. Pedagogical sciences at the University of Chemical technology and Metallurgy**

The procedure was opened at a meeting of the Standing Committee on pedagogical sciences and social activities on 30.05.2017 at the request of the higher school. The Expert panel, approved by the Accreditation Council as of 07.12.2017, inspected the evaluated institution in the period 28.03. – 30.03.2018.

At a meeting held on 30.05.2018, the Standing Committee adopted the report of the expert panel and on its basis prepared a decision on the results of the completed programme accreditation. The decision **stipulates findings and assessments regarding the compliance of the evidence submitted with the criteria system in the implemented procedure, and in accordance with the Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG) - Part 1 / 1-10 / and within the meaning of Art. 78, para. 3 of the HEA (Table 5). The Standing Committee used the 10-point assessment system provided for in Art. 79, para. 1 of the HEA and the rating scale of ENQA.**

After summarizing the results from voting on the basis of the adopted by the Accreditation Council to NEAA "Voting rules of procedures for accreditation and evaluation of projects", the Standing Committee on pedagogical sciences and social activities took the following **DECISIONS** on **26.06.2018**:

**1. Refuses programme accreditation to a doctoral programme „Theory of education and didactics" in a professional field 1.2. Pedagogy, an area higher education 1. Pedagogical sciences at the University of Chemical technology and Metallurgy on the grounds of an Art. 83, para. 4 of the Higher Education Act.**

**2. Defines a period of not more than 18 months in which the applicant may request re-accreditation.**

The Standing Committee on Pedagogical Sciences and Social Activities formulates the following **reason for refusal**:

**The score obtained under criterion 5.1 of Table 5 is less than 8.00, which is a ground for refusal to programme accreditation of the doctoral programme, according to NEAA's Criteria Rating Methodology adopted by the AC (20.10.2016).**

The Standing Committee on Pedagogical Sciences and Social Activities formulates the following **recommendations**:

- 1. To provide a habilitated lecturer of basic labour agreement in the professional field of the doctoral programme 1.2 Pedagogy.**
- 2. To publish updated information about the activity of the faculty of the Department of Humanities and the PhD programme on the website of the Faculty of Metallurgy and Materials Science.**

**The total verbal assessment according to the numeric one obtained is partial compliance.**

**Chairperson of the SCPSSA**

**Prof. Dr Emilia Vasileva**